

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The plan was not rewritten following it changing from Greater Manchester Spatial Framework to become Places For Everyone so the legality of it is questionable.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Check it complies with Regulation 18 of the Town and Country Planning regulations without a significant rewrite. All sections of the plan have had some form of change and so a significant rewrite at Reg 18 is likely to be required. In which case it should not have proceeded to this stage (19) A judicial review is required to ascertain this otherwise it cannot proceed as it must be considered illegal
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved 4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets 5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Soundness - Effective?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>Unsound as not justified Unsound as not consistent with national policy Unsound as effective NB The digital link would not let me chose to select "unsound"for these three things</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Unsound: The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the effect of Brexit or COVID 2. Unsound: The definition of "neighbourhood of choice" is subjective and woolly. There is littke detail on how necessary infrastructure to support so many new houses will be funded. 3. No employers have been identified for employment provision so a thriving and productive economy is not guaranteed 4. UNSOUND Our national and international assets will be completely wasted if this plan goes ahead and swathes of Greenbelt are destroyed unnecessarily 5. UNSOUND The plan does nothing to "Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity" 6. UNSOUND Sustainable movement of people goods and information is not promoted within this plan 7. UNSOUND This plan does nothing which is sufficient to ensure areas involved are more resilient or carbon neutral 8. UNSOUND Large areas of beautiful Greenbelt are included in this plan to be destroyed forever and built on which is the opposite of what it proposes to do! How can

	<p>this possibly "improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces"?</p> <p>9. UNSOUND</p> <p>access to physical and social infrastructure has not been confirmed or guaranteed within the plan</p> <p>10. UNSOUND</p> <p>The health and well being of the communities which will be affected have not been prioritised or considered. There has been very poor public consultation and a lack of accessible information available from the council.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>1. UNSOUND</p> <p>More up to date figures should be used (2018) to assess future housing need in order for this plan to be relevant or SOUND.</p> <p>2. UNSOUND</p> <p>The plan needs to identify what infrastructure is required and how all infrastructure will be paid for.</p> <p>3. UNSOUND</p> <p>industries and employment providers for the new areas in the plan need to be identified</p> <p>4. UNSOUND</p> <p>Our main national asset in this time of a climate emergency is our Greenbelt land which needs to be preserved.</p> <p>5. UNSOUND</p> <p>Stop this plan from going ahead and making rich developers richer and increasing the divide between rich and poor and taking away Greenbelt for ALL to enjoy in the existing areas</p> <p>6. UNSOUND</p> <p>Clear delivery plans for infrastructure should be included in the plan</p> <p>7. UNSOUND</p> <p>The fact that large swathes of Greenbelt will be destroyed cancels out any strategies to create carbon neutrality in the areas identified. There are no mitigation suggestions or plans mentioned which could ever replace or repair the damage caused to climate change by building in many of the areas identified. The excess cars and industrial vehicles involved in the process will increase carbon in our already highly polluted town of Bury</p> <p>8. UNSOUND</p> <p>Do not allow any unnecessary development on Greenbelt land. The PFE needs to be scrapped and a local plan for each authority adopted which uses 'exceptional circumstances' required in the National Planning Policy Framework to protect the Greenbelt.</p> <p>9. UNSOUND</p> <p>Clear delivery plans for infrastructure need to be included.</p> <p>10. UNSOUND</p> <p>Public input needs to be encouraged rather than discouraged and more awareness generated. Public consultation should be repeated providing clear understandable information.</p> <p>Transparent meetings should be held to select suitable sites with public representation. Reasons for selection or rejection of alternative sites should be clearly minuted. National and GMCA guidelines should be used for site selection.</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Holder</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>There is no evidence that the development will be sustainable or that it is legally compliant</p> <p>The plan relies on the co-operation of property developers with no indication how delivery targets will be achieved.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	A strategy which guarantees housing delivery rates needs to be provided.
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	JP-S 2 Carbon and Energy
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Building on greenbelt is not in keeping with being carbon neutral whatever mitigation is taken
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Do not build on protected greenbelt. 'Exceptional circumstances'needs to be employed to avoid this and a local plan developed for each area in PFE
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	JP-S 3 Heat and Energy Networks
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	JP-S 4 Resilience
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The risk of flooding will be greatly increased if more greenbelt land is used to build on Mitigation will never be adequate
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Brownfield first - prioritise this Do not build on greenbelt Rewrite an effective local plan for Bury with up to date housing need data predictions and employ the 'exceptional circumstances!
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	JP-S 5 Flood Risk and Water Environment
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Because too much greenbelt is being destroyed Mitigation will never be enough

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Brownfield first Protect the greenbelt using 'exceptional circumstances'
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	JP-S 6 Clean Air
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Building activity will produce more pollution to our town. Extra houses will create more traffic on our already congested roads
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Sure selection was not minuted and was not open and transparent with no public consultation or representation</p> <p>The site is protected Greenbelt land and an area of biological interest</p> <p>Development infrastructure is unclear</p> <p>Climate change, flooding risk, wildlife and biodiversity all need to be considered more thoroughly at this site</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Engage the public in decisions about the Greenbelt.</p> <p>Prioritise brown belt first and save Greenbelt by using 'exceptional circumstances'</p> <p>Housing need figures should be revised using more up to date data and taking into consideration the effects of Brexit and COVID</p> <p>Consider the Climate change emergency situation and keep Greenbelt to help counteract this rather than make it worse</p> <p>Avoid building here as there is a high risk of flooding and threat to property and life</p> <p>Protected wildlife and biodiversity need protecting and an independent ecology report should be completed to help consider the impact building would have</p>
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Marie
Person ID	1287543
Title	Other Comments
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No